


Columbus Park Pump Station Concept Overview



Conceptual Solution

The conceptual solution herein includes a stormwater storage (peak shaving) tank and pump station to discharge wet weather flow when water levels are too high in the harbor for the outfall to discharge by gravity. The tank and pump station are located beneath Christopher Columbus Park. If water surface conditions in the harbor prevent the outfall from discharging, a static diversion weir redirects flow to the underground storage tank. The storage tank is directly connected to the pump station. The pump station utilizes one duty pump, one standby pump, and two dewatering pumps. Each pump discharges into an individual force main and then connects to a discharge structure that could be incorporated with a future CRB berm or other shoreline protection adaptation. The pump station utilizes electric submersible pumps to minimize the above ground footprint of the station and mitigate chemical and noise pollution of the park from diesel engine driven pumps.

Type: Storage and Pumping

Total Drainage Area: 55 acres

Coastal Flood Vulnerable Drainage Area Protected: 55 acres

Concept Elements:

- Subsurface Pump Station
- Subsurface Storage Tank
- Diversion Structure

Outfalls Included in Concept:

- 25LSDO058

Coastal Stormwater Discharge Analysis
Columbus Park Pump Station




Assumptions

Sea Level Rise and Datum

The Columbus Park Pump Station concept was designed for consistency with Climate Ready Boston (CRB) proposed adaptations and analyzed based on sea level rise (SLR) projections in the Massachusetts Coastal Flood Risk Model (MC-FRM). The SLR values applied in MC-FRM are consistent with the standards for the State of Massachusetts developed by Coastal Zone Management. The MC-FRM utilizes a “High” SLR scenario. This scenario is based on the relative SLR projections under Relative Concentration Pathway (RCP) 8.5 (a “worst case scenario” of increasing atmospheric carbon concentrations) and represents elevations that have a 99.5% probability of not being exceeded within the respective timeframes. In 2030, that amounts to an increase of 1.3 feet in Boston from a baseline condition (2008 centered tidal epoch), and in 2070 that amounts to an increase of 4.3 feet.

The concept developed in this project was analyzed using coastal conditions that include 2070 projected SLR and storm surge resulting from a 100-year tropical storm. The peak water surface elevation (WSE) predicted by the MC-FRM during these conditions is approximately 13.8 feet NAVD88 (varies by location). In mid 2022, the Greater Boston Research Advisory Group (BRAG) issued an updated report with new SLR projections. The report acknowledges that long term SLR projections are associated with significant uncertainty, and that updated projections include less SLR by 2100 (compared to earlier projections in the 2015 BRAG Report). According to the report, the likely range of SLR by 2070 under an RCP 8.5 scenario is 1.4 – 2.8 feet. Based on this information, projections from the MC-FRM that were utilized in this project are conservative and appropriate for long term planning purposes.

Unless otherwise noted, all elevations are based on the NAVD88 vertical datum. Elevations given in NAVD88 can be converted to Boston City Base (BCB) elevation by adding 6.46 feet.





Climate Ready Boston and Shoreline Protection

The Columbus Park Pump Station concept was developed to maintain consistency with possible Climate Ready Boston (CRB) adaptations based on the latest available information at the time they were developed. As the CRB program continues to evolve, it is anticipated that proposed concepts will need to be adapted.

The concept was developed to be consistent with stated neighborhood design flood elevations. In Columbus Park, where the stated target design flood elevation (DFE) is 15.0 feet, pumps were designed to discharge to a minimum elevation of 15.0 feet. The modular (higher) DFE for this location is 16.5 feet.


At the time of this project, many CRB concepts were in early planning stages and not fully defined. **In consideration of this, it was assumed the shoreline protection around the City of Boston is 100% effective for all modeling evaluations.** This assumption eliminates overland coastal flooding from model predictions, allowing for isolation of flooding that results only from rainfall and stormwater that cannot be discharged due to high sea levels. It is important to recognize that additional flooding, beyond what is depicted herein, would be expected if 100% effective shoreline protection is not implemented.

Coastal Stormwater Discharge Analysis
Columbus Park Pump Station


Sheet 2 of 11 | November 2022

Columbus Park Pump Station Section View



Note: This concept also includes an aboveground electrical building, which is not shown in this graphic. Landscaping could be used to lessen the visual impact of the electrical building.

Coastal Stormwater Discharge Analysis
Columbus Park Pump Station



Hazen

Sheet 3 of 11


November 2022

Basis of Design

Storage and Pumping

Model simulations were conducted to determine the maximum Hydraulic Grade Line (HGL) that occurs at Outfall 25LSDO058 with the current tide cycle. Analyses were then conducted to determine the acceptable combinations of storage volume and pumping rate required to maintain the existing HGL with 2070 projected sea level rise and 100-year storm surge, as shown in Figure 1. The City of Boston's Parcel database was used to identify publicly owned parcels near the existing outfall. An analysis of the pump station was performed to identify a pump rate and physical dimensions that are hydraulically viable. It was found that a 1.26 MG, storage tank ~13.3 feet deep could fit within the property with an 80 CFS pump station. The storage tank and pump station occupy an area of approximately 13,000 ft². The Columbus Park pump station utilizes one duty pump, one standby pump, and two dewatering pumps. The pump station is configured with vertical, axial electric submersible pumps in parallel bays. The pumps are configured to discharge into individual, non-manifolded force mains, which travel horizontally underground from the pump station to the proposed elevated shoreline project (TBD by CRB), at which point they connect to a singular discharge structure with a fixed weir and discharge into the harbor onto an energy dissipation structure.

Figure 1: Pumping vs. Storage



Rainfall and Coastal Conditions

The Commission currently utilizes a 10-year, 24-hour design storm to establish its target level of service. For the purpose of sizing new piping and evaluating storage capacity, a projected 2070 10-year, 24-hour design storm was developed. For consistency with Climate Ready Boston, performance of the Columbus Park storage concept was also evaluated with projected rainfall from a 100-year tropical event (developed during the Commission's Inundation Model Project). The Columbus Park region was evaluated using a 100-year return period coastal boundary condition. Data for this condition were obtained from the MC-FRM. For the purpose of evaluating the effectiveness of the concept, it was further assumed that complete shoreline protection was implemented, preventing flow of water between land and the harbor. Table 2 contains a summary of the coastal conditions that were analyzed.

Table 1: Rainfall Conditions

Scenario	Purpose	Rainfall Depth (in)	Peak Intensity (in/hr)
Present Day, 10-year, 24-hr design storm	Baseline Conditions	5.15	3.32
Projected 2070, 10-year, 24-hr design storm	Design Conditions	6.18	4.08
100-year Tropical Storm	Damage Analysis	9.58	0.84

Table 2: Coastal Conditions

Scenario	Purpose	Peak Water Surface Elevation (ft, NAVD88)	Source
Present Day	Baseline Conditions	3.7	BWSC Existing Model (April 2016 Tide Cycle)
2070, 100-year Tropical Storm	Damage Analysis	13.8	MC-FRM

Coastal Stormwater Discharge Analysis
Columbus Park Pump Station



Flood Modeling and Damage Analysis

Figure 2: Estimated Replacement Cost




Figure 3: Loss of GDP





Figure 4: Physical Damage



The flood reduction benefits of the Columbus Park Pump Station concept were evaluated using the Commission’s 2D Inundation Model by simulating a 100-year tropical storm event with 2070 SLR and storm surge. The figures on the following page depict the peak flooding that was predicted in the Columbus Park drainage area with shoreline protection only and with the pump station and tide gates on all vulnerable BWSC owned outfalls. An analysis of economic losses/physical impacts from flooding under both scenarios was performed by risQ Inc.



Model predictions indicate that **the Columbus Park Pump Station concept reduces physical damage by \$700 million, avoids \$3.1 billion in rebuilding costs, and mitigates a GPD loss of \$1.2 billion** during a 100-year tropical storm event in 2070 compared to shoreline protection only.

Note: replacement values include the total value of impacted buildings in flooded areas (e.g., impacted buildings are fully replaced), whereas physical damage includes estimated costs to repair flood damage based on predicted flood depths and building characteristics. The values shown are the average of minimum and maximum calculated losses. Refer to the Project’s Final Report for more information.

Coastal Stormwater Discharge Analysis
Columbus Park Pump Station



Hazen



Legend

- Flood Depth > 4 in and < 1 ft
- Flood Depth > 1 ft and < 2 ft
- Flood Depth > 2 ft
- Drainage Area Analyzed

Simulation Parameters

Storm Type	100yr Tropical Storm
Rainfall Depth	9.6 inches
Peak WSE 2070 SLR + 100yr Surge	13.8 feet NAVD88

2070 SLR + 100yr Tropical Storm + Storm Surge
Shoreline Protection Only

2070 SLR + 100yr Tropical Storm + Storm Surge
Pump Station and Tide Gates

Cost Estimate and FEMA BRIC Considerations

Capital Cost Estimate

A construction cost estimate for the Columbus Park Pump Station concept was developed for planning purposes. Assumptions for the cost estimate include 15-year escalation to the mid-point of construction and the inclusion of a 50% design contingency. Utility hookup costs were not included.

Table 3: Columbus Park Pump Station Cost Estimate Subtotals

Remaining Design Development & Construction Administration (assumed 20% of total less design contingency)	\$2,409,000
Direct Construction Costs	\$4,731,915
Indirect Construction Costs	\$946,383
Mark-Up (Including 50% design contingency)	\$12,170,702
Total	\$20,258,000

Social Vulnerability and FEMA BRIC Funding

FEMA BRIC funding prioritizes disadvantaged communities. Table 4 contains a summary of several indicators for the Columbus Park tributary area that could be used help characterize the community for future FEMA funding applications and prioritization of projects that benefit disadvantaged communities.

Table 4: Columbus Park Tributary Area Social Vulnerability Indicators

Low Income & Persistent Poverty	
Per Capita Income	\$108,521
Below Poverty Line	11%
High Housing Cost Burden	
Stressed Renters (>40% rent-to-income)	26%
Households With Food Insecurity	14%
Racial and Ethnic Segregation	
Asian Population	7%
Black Population	5%
Hispanic Population	5%
White Population	84%
Education and Employment	
Adults Age 25+ Without High School (or equivalent) Degree	3%
Unemployment Rate (Age 16+)	4%

Data provided by risQ inc. from the US census and American Community Survey

Coastal Stormwater Discharge Analysis
Columbus Park Pump Station



Hazen

Planting Palette

A planting palette was developed for the Columbus Park concept. Native plant selections create an enhanced, environmentally-beneficial green space. Larger shrubs and trees can conceal concept utilities such as discharge pipes and the electrical building from the public.

Trees



Amelanchier arborea
common serviceberry



Juniperus virginiana
eastern red cedar



Magnolia virginiana
sweet bay magnolia



Quercus rubra
northern red oak

Shrubs



Rosa carolina
pasture rose



Rhus copallinum
winged sumac



Aronia melanocarpa
black chokeberry



Morella pensylvanica
bayberry

Herbaceous and Grasses



Panicum virgatum
switchgrass



Schizacharium scoparium
little bluestem



Eutrochium purpureum
Joe-Pye-Weed



Solidago sempervirens
seaside goldenrod

Coastal Stormwater Discharge Analysis
Columbus Park Pump Station



Hazen

Sheet 8 of 11

November 2022

Adaptability and Implementation

Adaptability


Figure 5 below depicts historical daily rainfall totals and tide levels. As shown in this figure, the conditions that were used to design and analyze and design the Columbus Park pump station are conservative and represent more extreme conditions than have occurred historically. Regardless, the following measures could be implemented to adapt the concept to more severe conditions (additional SLR, more intense rainfall, etc.) in the future:

- Increase the size of installed electric submersible pumps
- Utilize the standby pump as a duty pump during extreme conditions
- Increase the size of the peak shaving tank

Implementation Considerations

- Coordination with CRB is necessary to implement shoreline protection. The pump station should not be implemented without shoreline protection to prevent coastal flooding within the area tributary to it. The discharge structure may need to be modified depending on the exact nature of the shoreline protection chosen by CRB.
- Community engagement with stakeholders may help build project support by documenting the need for the storage tank and pump station.
- A comprehensive permitting evaluation should be conducted to evaluate possible impacts from construction and operation of the pump station to the receiving water.
- A careful analysis of constructability and sequencing will need to be performed to minimize impacts to the existing park.
- If construction of the storage tank results in modifications to the existing park, the design should be made compliant with the Americans with Disabilities Act to ensure accessibility.
- Given the high public visibility location of this concept, coordination with CRB should occur to construct shoreline adaptations at the same time to avoid separate construction projects.

Figure 5: Design and Analysis Conditions vs. Historical Tide and Rainfall



Coastal Stormwater Discharge Analysis
Columbus Park Pump Station



Hazen

Sheet 9 of 11

November 2022

Replicability and Implementation Timeline



Summary of Similar Concepts

Number of Sites: 18

Vulnerable Area: 422 acres

The map on this sheet depicts other vulnerable outfalls that could be adapted with electric submersible pump stations. In some locations, several outfalls could be consolidated with a new conduit that conveys flow to a single pump station.

Additional detail about these outfalls can be found in the Commission's Coastal Stormwater Discharge Analysis Implementation Timeline.

Coastal Stormwater Discharge Analysis
Columbus Park Pump Station



Hazen

Sheet 10 of 11

November 2022

ATTACHMENT A
COLUMBUS PARK PUMP STATION CONCEPTUAL DESIGN DRAWINGS

A-1: Overview Plan

A-2: Pump Station Plan

A-3: Pump Station Section View

Coastal Stormwater Discharge Analysis
Columbus Park Pump Station



Hazen


Sheet 11 of 11

November 2022

Columbus Park Stormwater Pump Station

NOTES

1. FOR WATER SURFACE ELEVATIONS REFER TO OPERATIONAL TABLE.
2. ALL ELEVATIONS USE THE NAVD88 VERTICAL DATUM UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED.
3. CONCEPTUAL DRAWING, NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION.



OVERVIEW PLAN

SCALE: NTS

STORMWATER PUMP-1,2 OPERATIONAL PARAMETERS

FLOW RATE, CFS	80
STATIC HEAD RANGE, FT	11.6 - 13.7
DESIGN FLOOD ELEVATION, FT	15.0

STORMWATER PUMP-1,2, OPERATIONAL WSE TABLE

NOTE	OPERATION	ELEVATION, FT
A	HIGH LEVEL ALARM	3.0
B	LAG PUMP ON	2.7
C	LEAD PUMP ON	2.5
D	LEAD PUMP OFF	2.0
E	LOW LOW ALARM	1.8
G	MIN PUMP SUBMERGENCE	1.6


COASTAL STORMWATER DISCHARGE ANALYSIS



Columbus Park Stormwater Pump Station

NOTES

1. FOR WATER SURFACE ELEVATIONS REFER TO OPERATIONAL TABLE.
2. ALL ELEVATIONS USE THE NAVD88 VERTICAL DATUM UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED.
3. CONCEPTUAL DRAWING, NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION.



PUMP STATION PLAN
SCALE: NTS

STORMWATER PUMP-1,2 OPERATIONAL PARAMETERS	
FLOW RATE, CFS	80
STATIC HEAD RANGE, FT	11.6 - 13.7
DESIGN FLOOD ELEVATION, FT	15.0


STORMWATER PUMP-1,2, OPERATIONAL WSE TABLE		
NOTE	OPERATION	ELEVATION, FT
A	HIGH LEVEL ALARM	3.0
B	LAG PUMP ON	2.7
C	LEAD PUMP ON	2.5
D	LEAD PUMP OFF	2.0
E	LOW LOW ALARM	1.8
G	MIN PUMP SUBMERGENCE	1.6

COASTAL STORMWATER DISCHARGE ANALYSIS	
A-2	November 2022

Columbus Park Stormwater Pump Station

NOTES

1. FOR WATER SURFACE ELEVATIONS REFER TO OPERATIONAL TABLE.
2. ALL ELEVATIONS USE THE NAVD88 VERTICAL DATUM UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED.
3. CONCEPTUAL DRAWING, NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION.



STORMWATER PUMP-1,2 OPERATIONAL PARAMETERS

FLOW RATE, CFS	80
STATIC HEAD RANGE, FT	11.6 - 13.7
DESIGN FLOOD ELEVATION, FT	15.0

STORMWATER PUMP-1,2, OPERATIONAL WSE TABLE

NOTE	OPERATION	ELEVATION, FT
A	HIGH LEVEL ALARM	3.0
B	LAG PUMP ON	2.7
C	LEAD PUMP ON	2.5
D	LEAD PUMP OFF	2.0
E	LOW LOW ALARM	1.8
G	MIN PUMP SUBMERGENCE	1.6

COASTAL STORMWATER DISCHARGE ANALYSIS

